

2025:PHHC:159932



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.208

CWP-15414-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.11.2025

Gurpreet Singh and others Petitioners
VERSUS
State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present: Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate
for the applicants-petitioners.

Ms. Neha Sonawane, AAG, Punjab.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J. (Oral)

CM-8796-CWP-2025

This application has been filed for impleading the applicant as
petitioner No.6.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is
allowed. The applicant is impleaded as a party-petitioner No.6 in the main
case.

Amended memo of parties is taken on record subject to all just
exceptions.

CWP-15414-2019

1. In the present writ petition, the petitioners have challenged the
order dated 15.02.2019 (Annexure P-11) vide which, the petitioners were
held ineligible for absorption. It has been also prayed in the petition that the
respondents may be directed to release the salary of the petitioners.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents-State
submits that infact, similar issue has been considered by a Coordinate Bench

Vs. State of Punjab and others to an extent of grant of salary and the said writ petition has been disposed of on 09.01.2024. It has been observed in the aforesaid order that the respondents would examine the claim of the petitioners within a period of 04 months. It has also been observed in the order that the claim was only limited to the period for which the petitioners, therein, worked.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, on instructions from the petitioners, submits that the petitioners would be satisfied, if at this stage, a direction is given to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the case of the petitioners in light of the order dated 09.01.2024 passed in **CWP-11574-2019**. Learned counsel has also submit that liberty may be granted to the petitioners to submit a representation in regard to their absorption in service and the same be decided in a time-bound manner.

4. Learned State counsel does not oppose the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. The writ petition is disposed of in terms of order passed in **Chouhan Singh's case (supra)**. In case, the petitioners submits a representation in regard to their absorption in service, within a period of 30 days from today, the same shall be considered and decided by the respondents, as per law/rules, within a period of 02 months, after filing of the representation.

6. The present writ petition is disposed of in the abovesaid terms.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

18.11.2025

Ramandeep Singh