



211                    **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**CWP-16127-2021**

**Date of Decision: 04.07.2025**

Gurmez Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present:-     Mr. Nand Lal Sammi, Advocate and  
                    Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sammi, Advocate  
                    for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)**

1.            The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to promote him to the rank of ASI w.e.f. 22.04.2016 on the basis of Seniority List (Annexure P-10).

2.            The petitioner is claiming that as per Seniority List (Annexure P-10), he is senior to Head Constable Paramjit Kumar still has not been promoted to the rank of ASI whereas Paramjit Kumar has already been promoted.

3.            Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab submits that petitioner is wrongly claiming Annexure P-10 to be Seniority List. The petitioner has no doubt passed Intermediate School Course. He is junior to Paramjit Kumar. There are more than 500 Head Constables who are senior to him

and yet to be promoted, thus, claim of petitioner is misconceived.

4. From the perusal of Annexure P-10, it cannot be concluded that it is a Seniority List. There is no concrete evidence to the effect that petitioner is senior to Head Constable Paramjit Kumar. There is no occasion for the respondent to prove that Paramjit Kumar is senior to petitioner and there are more than 500 Head Constables who are senior to petitioner.

5. The present petition being devoid of merit deserves to be dismissed and accordingly dismissed.

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**04.07.2025**  
Prince Chawla

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether Speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable:        | Yes/No |