



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1486

Date of decision: 11.07.2025

- (1) CWP-5080-2014 (O&M)
Naresh Kumar Goel vs State of Punjab & Another
- (2) CWP-1474-2012 (O&M)
Amrik Singh and Ors vs State of Punjab and Others
- (3) CWP-6115-2013 (O&M)
Surjit Singh vs State of Punjab and Others

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Shaurya Khana, Advocate for the petitioner in CWP-5080-2014

Mr. Swapan Shorey, DAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. These cases involve similar issues and therefore, are being disposed of together by this common order and for the sake of brevity, the facts are being taken from CWP-5080-2014.
2. Prayer made in the present petition for quashing the impugned orders dated 22.10.2012 and 12.07.2012, whereby the petitioner was reverted and recovery ordered.
3. This Court vide order dated 06.05.2014 while admitting the petition, granted the status quo regarding the services of the petitioner to be maintained.
4. Learned counsel submits that during pendency of the present case, the petitioner has since retired on attaining the age of superannuation on the post from which, he was sought to be reverted.
5. The Division Bench of this Court, vide judgment dated 21.02.2013 passed in CWP-837-2005 titled as **Sudarshan Kumar Sharma vs. The State of Punjab and others**, held thus:

“The petitioner was appointed as Sanitary Inspector, Municipal Committee, Ludhiana and he earned promotions from time to time. In the year 1994, he was promoted as Superintendent Vaccination. Thereafter, in the year 1997, he was given promotion to the post of Registrar (Births & Deaths),



Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana vide orders dated 28.5.1997. Subsequently, show cause notice dated 16.1.2003 was issued to him which culminated in his reversion from the post of Registrar (Births & Deaths). Challenging these orders, the petitioner filed the instant writ petition. The petitioner also prayed for interim stay of operation of the reversion orders. Interim orders were passed in his favour by this Court. Under the umbrella of the said stay orders, the petitioner continued to work as Registrar (Births & Deaths) and has since retired as well from that post on 31.12.2009. As the petitioner, on account of stay order, continued to work as Registrar (Births & Deaths) and has even retired from that post, it is not necessary to go into the validity of the orders impugned in this petition.

Retiral benefits, if not paid, shall be released to the petitioner as if the petitioner had retired as Registrar (Births & Deaths).

The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of.”

6. Learned State counsel, despite best efforts, has not been able to controvert as regards the factual position and draw out any distinctive aspects in the aforementioned judgment or cite any contrary law.
7. These petitions are disposed of in terms of **Sudarshan Kumar Sharma**(supra).
8. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of connected cases.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

11.07.2025
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No