



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

**CRM-M-24726-2025 (O&M)
Decided on : 13.08.2025**

Sukhdeep Singh and others

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Sudhir Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. PK Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vishal Thakur, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

CRM-30755-2025

I. This is an application filed u/s 528 of BNSS, 2023, seeking preponement the date of hearing in the main case i.e. CRM-M-24726-2025 from 16.09.2025 to an early date.

II. Notice of this application to the non-applicants/respondents.

III. At this stage, learned State counsel as well as learned counsel appearing on behalf of non-applicant/respondent No.2, who are already present in Court, accept notices and plead no objection to the prayer made in the present application.

IV. Accordingly, in view of the averments made in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit of the learned counsel for the applicant-appellant, the date of hearing in the main case is advanced from 16.09.2025 to today itself, i.e., 13.08.2025.

V. CRM stands disposed of.

CRM-M-24726-2025

1. In view of the orders of even date passed in CRM-30755-2025, main case is taken up for hearing today itself.

2. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 482 Cr.P.C.), seeking quashing of the below detailed

First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 19.08.2022 (Annexure P-9), effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
366	15.08.2019	406, 420 of IPC	Thanesar Sadar	Kurukshetra

3. Vide order dated 08.05.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

4. Report has since been received from learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kurukshetra, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

5. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced here-below:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	Three
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	One
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes
4.	In case, any affected person (accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereof; OR	No
	His/her statement is still to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	--
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been	No

	initiated or pending adjudication	
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	All the relevant aspects have been detailed above.

6. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and the private respondent is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

7. In view of the report of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kurukshetra, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

8. Needless to say that the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

9. Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

August 13, 2025
J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No