



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206

CRM-M-25507-2025
Date of decision: 30.10.2025

GAGANDEEP SINGH @ GORA

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Vikram Satpal Anand, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Senior DAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant third petition has been filed under Section 483 of The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 148 dated 23.05.2023, registered under Section(s) 21-C, 29 of the NDPS Act at Police Station Special Task Force, District STF Wing.

2. That present case has been registered against the accused-applicant alongwith his co-accused Rahul by ASI Jatinder Handa, when he alongwith police party was on patrolling duty. At about 12:45 PM, one Activa, grey in colour having registration No.PB-02-EJ-2104 came from Tarn Taran road. Two persons were riding on it. They were apprehended by

ASI with the help of other police officials and on being asked, the driver of

the scooty disclosed his name as Rahul @ Ghuda and pillion rider disclosed his name as Gagandeep Singh @ Gora. Then in the presence of DSP Yogesh Kumar, search of accused Rahul @ Ghuda was conducted, one transparent polythene containing Heroin was recovered from right pocket of his wearing trouser. On weighing, it came to 270 grams Heroin. Then, in the presence of DSP Yogesh Kumar, search of accused Gagandeep Singh @ Gora was conducted, one transparent polythene containing Heroin was recovered from right pocket of his jeans. On weighting, it came to 280 grams Heroin.

3. Learned State Counsel, at the very outset submits that out of total of 16 witnesses, 14 already stands examined and the case is now fixed for prosecution evidence on 10.11.2025. He further informs that the bail of the co-accused bearing CRM-M-22497-2025 was withdrawn on 14.10.2025.

4. Taking into consideration that the trial is at advanced stage and only two witnesses remained to be examined, the case of concession of grant of regular bail would not be made out. The trial Court, is however, directed to expeditiously conclude the prosecution evidence and in any case within a period of two months of the date next fixed before the trial Court for recording of the prosecution evidence.

The present petition is accordingly dismissed.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

OCTOBER 30, 2025

Vishal Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No