

2025:PHHC:121059



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

105+202

**CRM-M-24390-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.09.2025**

AKASH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Tanvir S. Grewal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ved Parkash, Senior DAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

CRM-35653-2025

Application is allowed as prayed for and Annexures P-10 to
P-13 are taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-M-24390-2025

The instant petition is for seeking concession of regular bail in
case bearing FIR No. 188 dated 13.06.2023 registered under Sections 302 of
the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sector 31, Faridabad, District
Faridabad.

2. The present First Information Report was lodged on the
complaint of Ved Parkash Singh, son of Prem Pal Singh. The substance of



the allegations is that on 13.06.2023, the accused, Akash, enticed the complainant's sister, Nitesh Kumari, to Hotel The Limestone, situated in the DLF Industrial Area. It is alleged that within the said premises, the accused caused her death by strangulation coupled with physical assault. On the basis of these allegations, the complainant sought initiation of criminal proceedings, whereupon the instant FIR was registered. In the course of investigation, the accused-applicant Akash was apprehended on 14.06.2023. His disclosure statement was recorded, and in pursuance thereof, he conducted a nishandehi of the place of occurrence and further pointed out the shop from which the rope, allegedly employed in the commission of the crime, had been procured. Statements of relevant witnesses were recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973. Additionally, the call detail records of the accused-applicant as well as of the deceased were obtained. Upon culmination of the investigation, a police report under Section 173 of the Code of Criminal Procedure was submitted before the jurisdictional Court.

3. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the aforesaid case under Section 302 had been recorded against the petitioner on the allegation that there were injuries on the head and face of the deceased-Nitesh Kumari. He refers to the MLR which shows that there is no mark of any external injury on the head and face of the deceased and there was only a ligature mark. He contends that the petitioner as well as the deceased had checked in to the same hotel room. He submits that there were no injuries other than the ligature marks/strangulation marks on the body of



the deceased and the similar injury was also found on the body of the petitioner. Hence, it is a case of failed suicide attempt by the petitioner alongwith deceased Nitesh Kumari. He submits that the petitioner was taken in custody on 13.06.2023 and has already undergone an actual custody of more than 02 years. Only 06 witnesses have been examined out of the total 35 witnesses and the trial is likely to take long time.

4. Counsel for the respondent-State is not in a position to dispute the aforesaid facts.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties.

6. In view of the facts noticed above and taking into consideration the actual period of custody of 02 years, 02 months and 20 days, the stage of trial and bearing in mind the age of the petitioner and lack of any criminal antecedents, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

7. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.



9. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

SEPTEMBER 05, 2025
Vishal Sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No