

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:061547



104

CRWP-4640-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 09.05.2025.

Muskan Swami and Anr.

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present: Mr. Ravi Dangi, Advocate for the petitioners.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

CRM-W-646-2025

Allowed as prayed for.

CRM-W-647-2025

Present application has been filed for placing on record fresh representation, as inadvertently in the earlier representation date of marriage has been wrongly mentioned.

Allowed as prayed for. Representation (Annexure A-1) is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CRWP-4640-2025

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major, petitioner No.1 – Muskan Swami, aged 26 years and petitioner No.2 – Umesh Dhaka, aged 28 years and have got married on

01.05.2025, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents. The copies of 'Aadhaar' card of petitioners (Annexures P-1 and P-2) have been placed on record. Copy of Marriage certificate as well as the marriage photographs have also been placed on record as Annexure P-3 and P-4.

It has been further submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the marital life of the petitioners. Hence, they are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court Mr. P.S. Pandher, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, the petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 07.05.2025 (Annexure A-1) at the earliest and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

09.05.2025

jyoti3

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No