



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(I) CWP-13932-2020

J.S. TIWANA

...Petitioner(s)

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.

...Respondent(s)

(II) CWP-13988-2020

LAL CHAND

...Petitioner(s)

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.

...Respondent(s)

Date of Decision: 30.04.2025

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present : Mr. Rajiv Singh, Advocate with
Mr. Risham Raag Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Puru Jarewal, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Monika Chhibber Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2-PUDA.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J. (Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of certiorari for quashing charge sheet dated 11.08.2020 and its consequential proceedings being void-ab-initio patently illegal, arbitrary and unjust against the Punjab Urban Planning and Development Authority Employees (Punishment and Appeal) Regulations, 1997 as the same has been issued to the petitioner after six and half years of his retirement.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that, in fact after the retirement of the petitioner departmental proceedings could not have been continued as there is no provision in the Regulations

which permits the respondents to continue with the departmental proceedings.

3. Ms. Monika Chhibber Sharma, Advocate appearing for respondent No.2-PUDA submits, on instructions and also in view of the communication received by her, that respondent No.2 has decided to drop the charge sheet with due liberty to avail alternative remedies, if any, in accordance with law.

4. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to withdraw the present petition.

5. Dismissed as withdrawn.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

7. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.

30.04.2025*M.Sikka***(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No