



220

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24122-2025

Date of decision: 26.05.2025

Kewal Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Aman Pratap Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Simble, Advocate
for the complainant.**HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)**

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.) seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.006 dated 26.01.2025 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 115(2)/118(1)/332/333/324/351/191(3)/190 of BNS registered at Police Station Majitha, District Amritsar Rural (Annexure P-1).

On 05.05.2025, the following order was passed:-

'Instant petition is preferred under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.006 dated 26.01.2025 under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 332, 333, 324, 351, 191(3), 190 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, registered at Police Station Majitha, District Amritsar Rural.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that there is a delay of 13 days in registration of FIR (supra), which creates a serious dent on the case set up by the prosecution. Further, no specific role or injury has been attributed to the petitioner. The petitioner is having clean antecedents and he is not involved in any other case.

Notice of motion for 26.05.2025.

*Keeping in view the ratio of law enunciated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Satender Kumar Antil Vs. CBI (2022) 10 SCC 51; Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre Vs. State of Maharashtra and others 2010 SCC OnLine SC 137; Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia etc. Vs. State of Punjab (1980) 2 SCC 565, Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar (2014) 8 SCC 273 and Sushila Aggarwal Vs. State of NCT Delhi 2020 (1) RCR (Criminal) 833**, the petitioner is directed to appear before Investigating Officer within a period of two weeks from today and*



CRM-M-24122-2025

-2-

thereafter, as directed by the Investigating Officer. In the event of arrest, the petitioner will be admitted to interim anticipatory bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Investigating/Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation/Arresting Officer and abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of BNSS (erstwhile Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973).

If the Arresting Officer does not permit the petitioner to join the investigation, he would appear before learned Illaqa Magistrate, who would then summon the Arresting Officer and direct him to join the petitioner in the investigation, in terms of the order of this Court.

Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion by this Court and learned trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law.'

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Amrik Singh, at the very outset informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 05.05.2025 is hereby made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

The petition stands disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

26.05.2025

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No