



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

110

CRWP-4633-2025

Date of decision: 07.05.2025

SARBJEET AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

V/s

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr. Sahil Gupta, Advocate with
Ms. Dolli Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

H.S.GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners are seeking a direction to the official respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from private respondents. It is stated in the petition that petitioners have performed their marriage, which is against the will of private respondents No. 4 to 16 and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause physical harm to them.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners being major, got married against the wishes of private respondents on 29.04.2025. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation/complaint dated 30.04.2025 (Annexure P-5) was also made to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur Rural, wherein a mention has been made about the alleged threat perception. Learned counsel further submits that despite the official

respondents having been communicated about the alleged threat perception, no steps have been taken to safeguard the interest of the petitioners. Learned counsel also submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur Rural to look into their representation/complaint dated 30.04.2025 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.
4. At the asking of the Court Mr.Amandeep Singh Samra, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.
5. Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of **“Lata Singh V. State of U.P. and another”, JT 2006 (6) SC 173**, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.
6. It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

May 07, 2025
poonam

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No