

CRM-M-24454-2025
Date of decision: 01.07.2025

....Petitioners

Versus

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Rahul Garg, Advocate for
Mr. Arpan Sabharwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Durgesh Garg, AAG Punjab.

Ms. Navjot Kaur, Advocate
for Mr. S.S. Swaich, Advocate for the respondents No.2 to 4.

.....
SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of cross-case Rapat No.39 dated 05.05.2018 (Annexure P-2) in case FIR No.45 dated 05.05.2018 under Sections 325, 323, 427, 148, 149 IPC registered at P.S. Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 22.04.2025 (Annexure P-3) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

Cross Case No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
39	05.05.2018	341, 323, 148, 149 IPC	Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur



2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise dated 22.04.2025 (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hoshiarpur, vide report dated 13.06.2025, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. In compliance to the order dated 21.05.2025, an amount of Rs.25,000/- has been deposited with the Society for the Care of Blind, Sector-26, Chandigarh and receipt thereof is annexed with the report.

3. Respondents No. 2 to 4 are represented by their counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hoshiarpur, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. Cross Case No.39 dated 05.05.2018, under Sections 341, 323, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur (Annexure P-2) and all

consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of
compromise qua the present petitioner.

01.07.2025
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |