

2025:PHHC:155508



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

202

FAO-2720-2006 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.11.2025

Vijay Singh and another

...Appellants

Versus

Surender and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: None for the appellants.

Mr. Sudhanshu Makkar, Advocate for the respondents.

VIKAS SURI, J.(Oral)

1. Despite the case having been called out twice, there is no representation on behalf of the appellants.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents submits that appellant No.1 (Vijay Singh) died on 30.10.2015, whereas appellant No.2 (Sheela Devi) died on 28.02.2021. He has produced copy of their death certificates in the Court, which are taken on record.
3. Learned counsel, on instructions, further submits that the claimant-appellants had effected a compromise with the respondents, before the Executing Court, for a sum of Rs.45,050/- as full and final settlement and thereafter, the execution application stands disposed of as fully satisfied.



4. In view of the aforesaid, it is safe for this Court to infer that the appellants/legal representatives of the deceased appellants are not interested to pursue this matter. No useful purpose would be served by keeping the appeal pending on the docket of this Court, as such.

5. Accordingly, the present appeal is disposed of.

6. However, liberty is granted to the claimant/appellants/legal representatives of the deceased appellants to seek revival, if any grievance still survives.

7. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

November 11, 2025
sumit.k

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes / No
Whether Reportable :	Yes / No