



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

259

CWP-15509-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.04.2025

Vikash

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Somesh Gupta, Advocate for the respondent(s)-UOI.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been preferred by the petitioner(s) seeking issuance of an appropriate writ especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to join at the post of Turner in furtherance to joining letter dated 06.02.2020 issued by respondent No.3.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the petitioner had applied with the respondent-Railways pursuant to an advertisement issued by them. The petitioner was successful in the same and a letter of appointment was issued for the post of Technician Grade III Turner (Workshop). He contends that however, post his selection but before his joining, the petitioner met with an accident and as a result of the injuries



sustained therein, he has been rendered physically disabled from the left arm. He contends that the respondents are however not allowing the petitioner to join. He makes a reference to the written statement filed by the respondent(s) and contends that the matter was forwarded by the respondent(s) authorities to the headquarter for a final decision vide letter dated 24.08.2021 and that no final decision as regards whether the petitioner be permitted to join with the respondent-Railways has been taken.

3. After arguing at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that he would be satisfied at this juncture, in case, the respondent-Railways is directed to take a final decision on the pending claim of the petitioner seeking appointment with the respondents in a time bound manner.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent(s)-UOI does not dispute the factual assertions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner. He, however, contends that the case of the petitioner had been put up before the competent authority with the comments as sought for by them and that a final decision is yet to be taken. He contends that he would have no objection, in case, a time bound direction is issued to the competent authority viz. the Headquarter Railways to take a decision on the pending case of the petitioner for appointment.

5. With the consent of the parties, the present petition is accordingly **disposed of** without commenting on the merits of the present case and with a direction to competent authority-Headquarter of the respondent-Railways to take a decision on the pending claim of the



petitioner for appointment pursuant to his selection in a time bound manner and preferably within a period of 04 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. Needless to mention that in the event of the said decision being taken in favour of the petitioner, the necessary consequential order(s) be also passed expeditiously and not later than a period of 01 month thereafter.

22.04.2025
Mangal Singh

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No