

112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-4549-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.05.2025**

Sharda

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR


Present: Mr. Manoj Tanwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Present criminal writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and her family members from the hands of respondent No.5.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that on 11.03.2025, a quarrel took place between the petitioner and respondent No.5 outside the Masjid and in the incident, one Mahboob died, as he suffered one gunshot injury, fired by one Shahid @ Mamrej. Thereafter, an FIR No.39 dated 12.03.2025 (Annexure P-1) was got registered by respondent No.5 under Sections 103(1), 115, 190, 191(3), 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,

2025:PHHC:058273




2023 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Rozka Meo, District Nuh, levelling allegations against more than 15 persons and due to previous enmity, he even implicated the persons, who were not present at the spot, including husband of the petitioner and all male members of her family. In the FIR (*supra*), one Shahid @ Mulla was arrested and other accused ran away from the village. On 12.03.2025, at night, respondent No.5 along with 30-40 persons armed with lathis and dandas trespassed into house of the petitioner and looted her house and two grocery shops containing the articles worth Rs.20-25.00 lakhs, as discernible from the photographs (Annexure P-2) and video (Annexure P-3). Respondent No.5 and his goons are threatening the petitioner and her family members to eliminate them. He also looted about seven houses of family members of the petitioner. Now, the petitioner along with her four minor children and other family members are hiding themselves somewhere outside the village. The petitioner submitted a representation dated 20.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.3, however, till date, no action has been taken thereon.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the representation dated 20.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) submitted by her in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

5. On asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana,

2025:PHHC:058273



accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4-State. Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to supply copy of complete paperbook to learned State counsel during course of the day.

6. Keeping in view the prayer made by the petitioner and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the representation dated 20.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) submitted by the petitioner within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law.

05.05.2025
vishnu

[HARPREET SINGH BRAR]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No