



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

227

**FAO-3287 of 2007(O&M)
Date of decision:- 08.05.2025**

Bir Singh

...Appellant

vs.

Hemant Kumar and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. Gopal Mittal, Advocate
for respondent-Insurance Co .

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. On 30.08.2024, Registry was directed to issue notice to the appellant as well as his counsel for the date fixed.
2. As per office report, notice issued to the appellant received back duly served and his counsel, Mr. S.K. Yadav, Advocate was informed through email.
3. The learned counsel appearing for respondent Nos. 1 to 4 was also duly informed but today none has put in appearance on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4.
4. Today there is no representation on behalf of the appellant. It seems that the appellant is no more interested in pursuing the present case. Therefore, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for want of prosecution.
5. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.
6. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to move an application to revive the appeal, in case any cause of action survives.
7. Further Insurance Company is directed to disburse the current schedule fee to Mr. Gopal Mittal, Advocate, pursuant to order dated 18.07.2024 passed in FAO No.1682 of 2007 by this Court, within a period of twenty days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
8. Pending application (s), if any, also stand disposed of.

08.05.2025

Gaurav Arora

**(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE**

***Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable***

***Yes
No***