

133 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:064898



CRWP-4456-2025

Date of Decision:15.05.2025

VIKAS

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Chetan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (Oral)

The present criminal writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India for quashing the impugned order dated 22.04.2025 (Annexure P-3) passed by respondent No.1, vide which the claim of the petitioner for grant of pre-mature release has been rejected.

At the very outset, learned State counsel submits that the petition may be disposed of in view of the decision taken by the Coordinate Bench in ***CRWP-8232-2022, Pohlu @ Polu Ram vs. State of Haryana and others***, dated 05.02.2024, Annexure P-5, with the assurance that the representation/application for pre-mature release of the petitioner will be considered afresh by passing a speaking order.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State, impugned order dated 22.04.2025 (Annexure P-3) is hereby quashed and the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for pre-mature release in accordance with the policy dated 08.08.2011, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

15.05.2025

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No