



CWP-12379 of 2025 (O&M) 1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

119

CWP-12379 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:02.05.2025

Sawinderpal @ Sawinderpal Kakkar

....Petitioner

Versus

The Punjab State Warehousing Corporation and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present: Mr. Tahaf Bains, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the petitioner is praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent corporation not to effect recovery till the pendency of the statutory review petition filed by the petitioner alongwith application for stay dated 05.04.2025 (Annexure P-5) filed against the order dated 27.11.2024 (Annexure P-3) passed by respondent No.2 upholding the order dated 15.11.2016 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.1 whereby, recovery of Rs.6,99,602/- has been imposed upon the petitioner for quality cut, poor stitching and under charge. It has also been prayed that during the pendency of the present writ petition the operation of the impugned orders dated 27.11.2024 (Annexure P-3) and 15.11.2016 (Annexure P-1) be stayed.

**CWP-12379 of 2025 (O&M) 2**

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon a judgment passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in case titled **Sunil Kumar Goyal versus The Punjab State Warehousing Corporation and others, CWP No.12129 of 2024, decided on 22.05.2024.**

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner, prays that at this stage, he will be satisfied if a direction may be given to respondent No.3 to decide the statutory review petition filed by the petitioner in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

6. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the statutory review petition filed by the petitioner, within a period of 06 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law. Further recovery from the petitioner shall remain stayed and would be subject to the final order that may be passed by the Reviewing Authority.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

May 02, 2025
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No