



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

207

CRM-M-23921-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 19.11.2025

Bittu Singh @ Bantu Singh

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. L.S. Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Chhavi Sharma, AAG Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant first petition has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner(s) in case bearing FIR No.101 dated 24.03.2025, registered under Section(s) 15-B and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station Sadar Hansi, District Hisar.

2. Briefly summarised, the facts of the present case are that on 24.03.2025, the petitioner was apprehended by the Police party with 10 kg. 146 grams of Poppy Husk (chura post) while travelling in a truck bearing registration No.PB-11AY-9081 and as disclosed by him qua involvement in the instant case, he demarcated the place of purchase of contraband.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that 10 kg. 146 grams of Poppy Husk (chura post) was recovered from the truck in which



207

CRM-M-23921-2025 (O&M)

the petitioner was travelling and recovered contraband is intermediate quantity. He contends that the petitioner has been in custody since 24.03.2025 and has undergone an actual custody of more than 7 months and the trial is yet to be commenced as out of 23 prosecution witnesses none has been examined so far. He contends that even as per the disclosure of the petitioner recorded by the Police, he is an addict and not a trader/hoarder/dealer of the narcotics.

4. Learned State counsel contends that the petitioner is involved in a large number of cases including 06 under the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, however, it is not disputed that the petitioner is on bail in all other cases and the recovered contraband falls under the non-commercial quantity. It is also not disputed that out of 23 prosecution witnesses none has been examined so far.

5. Having heard the counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the facts that the recovery in the present case is non-commercial as well as the period of custody alongwith the stage of trial and bearing in mind that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take a long time, I deem it fit to allow the instant petition.

6. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to regular bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Illaqa Magistrate concerned.



7. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.
8. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

19.11.2025
Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No