

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025.PHHC.057982



106

**CRWP-4512-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.05.2025.**

Jaspreet and another

...Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present: Mr. Vijay Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, who are in live-in-relationship against the wishes of private respondents No.4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major, petitioner No.1 – Jaspreet, aged 18 years and petitioner No.2 – Raseed, aged 30 years are in live in relationship with each other. They have pleaded that they want to marry each other but the private respondents No.4 to 6 are against their relationship. The petitioners seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 6. Hence, they are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. The copies of Aadhaar card of petitioners (Annexures P-1 and P-2) have been placed on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has relied upon a judgment of this Court in CWP No.31834 of 2019 titled as “Megha and another vs. State of Haryana and others” decided on 04.11.2019 and submitted that this Court had entertained petition for protection of couples in live-in relationship.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court Mr. Arjun Lakhanpal, Addl.AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

At this stage, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case as well as nature of relationship between the petitioners, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 29.04.2025 (Annexure P-3) at the earliest and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

03.05.2025.

jjyoti

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No