



110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-4439-2025
DECIDED ON: 02.05.2025**

SURESH ALIAS LALA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. R.S. Cheema, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Satish Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, DAG Haryana

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This is Criminal Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari for setting aside the impugned order dated 22.04.2025 (Annexure P-4) passed by respondent no.1, vide which the case of the petitioner has been deferred to be re-considered after one year in total disregard to the law declared under Article 141 of the Constitution of India- which says release of person shall be governed by Premature Release Policy, as applicable on the date of conviction, which in present case would be policy dated 4-2-1993 (Annexure P-2), as amended in 1997 (Annexure P-2A), and to be applied in the manner as clarified in letter dated 22-2-1996 (Annexure P-3) in an illegal and arbitrary manner and Also in oblivion of specific directions passed by this Hon'ble Court in CRWP 8232 of 2022 on 5-2-2024 (Annexure P-6).

It is further prayed that this Hon'ble Court may issue direction to the respondents to release the petitioner, in the interest of justice, as per premature policy dated 04.02.1993 (Annexure P-2), to be applied as per Annexure P-3, as the case of the petitioner is fully covered under clause (b) of the said policy and also fulfils the criteria as mentioned in Annexure P-3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed reliance upon the judgment dated 05.02.2024 passed by this Court in CRWP-8232-2022 titled as '*Pohlu @ Polu Ram vs. State of Haryana*' as well as judgments dated 01.07.2024 passed by this Court in CRWP-834-2024 titled as "*Aftab @ Sakil vs. State of Haryana and Ors.*" & order dated 18.02.2025 passed in CRWP-1617-2025 titled as "*Yogesh @ Bablu vs. State of Haryana and Ors.*".

Learned State Counsel assures the Court that the case of the petitioner will be considered afresh as per law.

In view of the above, learned State Counsel is directed to reconsider and decide the prayer made by the petitioner for grant of premature release, at the first instance, within a period of four weeks from today.

In the light of above, the petition stands disposed off.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

02.05.2025

Meenu

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>