



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

294

CRM-M-23518-2025
Date of decision: 26.05.2025

Mohit Goell and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Simran Gorla, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Harsh Chopra, Advocate and
Mr. Kavinder Singh Chhiber, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

1. This is the second petition has been filed by the petitioners under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.0194 dated 21.09.2020 (Annexure P-1), under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Division No.3, District Police Commissionerate, Jalandhar (Sections 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC deleted during investigation).

2. Short reply filed on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3 is taken on record.

3. Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that earlier the petitioners have approached this Court by way of filing petition which was dismissed vide order dated 22.02.2021. He submits that the petitioners assailed the said order by way of filing the SLP before the Hon'ble Supreme



Court which was disposed of by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated 07.09.2021. He submits that when they earlier approached this Court by way of filing earlier petition, the case was under investigation and the report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. was not filed. However, as on date, the investigation is already complete and the offence regarding forgery under Section 467 of IPC. has been dropped and the challan under Section 173 report has been filed for the offence under Section 420, 406 IPC. He thus, submits that the present petition is maintainable. However, the same has been opposed by the counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 who has submitted that Hon'ble Supreme Court has already upheld the order passed by this Court vide order dated 07.09.2021 and thus, the present petition is not maintainable.

4. The State counsel has filed the status report and he has also opposed the present petition.

5. In view of the above, this Court finds that the Hon'ble Supreme Court has disposed of the petition wherein the order under challenge was not set aside and hence this Court do not find any ground for the maintainability of this petition. However, the petitioners would be at liberty to avail his remedy and to approach the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

6. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of.

26.05.2025

Yogesh

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-
Whether reportable:-

Yes/No
Yes/No