



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207

**FAO-1376 of 2006(O&M)
Date of decision:- 25.04.2025**

New India Assurance Co. Ltd.	vs.	...Appellant
Sunita Devi and others		...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present:- None for the appellants.

Mr. Saurabh Chobey, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. On 11.11.2024, Registry was directed to issue notice to the parties as well as their counsel for the date fixed. As per office report, notice issued to the appellant was not received back served or otherwise and notice issued to learned counsel for the appellant received back with the report that Mr. N.K. Khosla, Advocate has passed away. Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 were duly served and respondent No. 5 is stated to have died.
2. Thereafter, the matter was again taken up on 28.02.2025 and none appeared on behalf of the parties. This Court again directed the Registry to issue notice to the parties as well as their counsel for the date fixed. The Registry has given the same report as given on the previous date. Today as well, none appeared on behalf of the appellant. It seems that the appellant is no more interested in pursuing the present case. Therefore, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for want of prosecution.
2. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to move an application to revive the appeal, in case any cause of action survives.
3. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

25.04.2025
Gaurav Arora

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

***Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable***

***Yes
No***