



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

118

CWP-12389-2025 (O&M)
Decided on :02.05.2025

YOUNG SCHOLARS COLLEGE HANDIAYA AND OTHERS
. .Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Grumeet Kaur Gill, Advocate
Senior Panel Counsel, for
Respondent No. 4-UOI.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI , J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners-institution is that they are not being given their entitled for the payment of their dues under the Post Metric Scholarship Scheme, which is not being refunded to the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the issue raised in the present petition has already been answered by this Court in CWP No.13761 of 2024 titled **“Akashdeep and ors. Vs. State of Punjab and ors.” and other connected cases**, decided on 09.01.2025 (Annexure P-3) hence, the present petition be also disposed of in the same terms.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Akshita Chauhan, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and raises no objection in case the present petition is



disposed of in terms of CWP No.13761 of 2024 titled “**Akashdeep and ors. Vs. State of Punjab and ors.”** and other connected cases, decided on 09.01.2025 (Annexure P-3).

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No. 4-Union of India submits that though, the claim raised in the present petition has already been decided by this court while passing order in **Akashdeep's case (supra)**, but Union of India, intends to file an appeal against the said order passed in **Akashdeep's case (supra)**.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the case file with their able assistance.

7. Once, the issue raised in the present petition has already been decided by this Court while passing order in **Akashdeep's case (supra)**, merely that respondent No. 4- Union of India has decided to file an appeal against the said order passed in **Akashdeep's case (supra)**, does not mean that the claim of the petitioners which is *akin* to one which was raised in **Akashdeep's case (supra)**, should not be disposed of in the same terms so as to avoid discrimination.

8. Keeping in view facts and circumstances of the present case, the present petition is disposed of in the same terms and conditions as held in **Akashdeep's case (supra)**.

9. Pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

02.05.2025

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No