

2025:PHHC:162474



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

215

IOIN-FAO-1362-2006 in/and
FAO-1362-2006 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.11.2025

Karnail Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Harinder Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. J.S. Virk, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Lalit Garg, Advocate with
Ms. Geetika Rani, Advocate for respondent No.3.

VIKAS SURI, J.(Oral)

1. As per office report, despite numerous reminders to learned counsel for the appellant, correct addresses of respondent Nos.1, 2 and 4 have not been supplied so far.
2. Learned counsel for the appellant states that he has been unable to contact the appellant and is not in a position to further prosecute the present appeal, as such.
3. In the present circumstances, this Court is left with no other option but to infer that the appellant is not interested to pursue the present appeal.
4. IOIN stands disposed of accordingly and with the consent of the parties, the main appeal is taken on board.



IOIN-FAO-1362-2006
in FAO-1362-2006 (O&M)

5. In view of the aforesaid, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.
6. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to seek revival of the case on furnishing the correct/current addresses of unserved respondent Nos.1, 2 and 4; and in case any grievance still survives.

November 20, 2025
sumit.k

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes / No
Whether Reportable :	Yes / No