

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: 02.12.2025

1. IOIN-1-CWP-12184-2012 IN/AND CWP-12184-2012 (O&M)

Swaran Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

2. IOIN-1-CWP-13165-2012 IN/AND CWP-13165-2012 (O&M)

Kiran Kumar and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. G.S. Punia, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CWP-12184-2012).

None for the petitioners (in CWP-13165-2012)

Mr. Vikas Sonak, AAG, Punjab.


Ms. Ekta Thakur, Advocate
with Ms. Shikha and Mr. Samar, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

IOIN-1-CWP-12184-2012 IN/AND CWP-12184-2012
IOIN-1-CWP-13165-2012 IN/AND CWP-13165-2012

From the perusal of the office report, it is evident that the main writ petition(s) are admitted and service upon respondents No.5 and 6 (in CWP-12184-2012) and respondent No.5 (in CWP-13165-2012) is still pending and no new address or process fee has been filed.

With the consent of both the parties, the main writ petitions are taken on board today itself for hearing.



CM-17686-CWP-2025 IN CWP-12184-2012

Prayer in the instant application filed under Section 151 of CPC is for placing on record the reply by way of short affidavit along with documents (Annexures R-1 to R-3).


Allowed as prayed for subject to all just exceptions.
Registry is directed to do the needful.

CWP-12184-2012 & CWP-13165-2012

1. Vide this common order, I intend to dispose of CWP-12184-2012 and CWP-13165-2012, as common questions of law and facts are involved for adjudication. For the sake of convenience, facts are taken from CWP-12184-2012.

2. Prayer in the writ petition (in CWP-12184-2012) is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 and 2 to sponsor the name of the petitioner for the admission to the 67th Station Officer and Instructors Course commencing from 02.07.2012 to 21.12.2012 at National Fire Service College, Nagpur (respondent No.3) and directing respondent No.3 to grant admission to the petitioner to the said course. Further a writ of certiorari has been sought, for quashing the letter/order dated 18.05.2012 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.2 whereby the names of respondents No.5 and 6 have been sponsored despite being junior to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.4, on instructions from Mr. Gurmeet Singh, Establishment Clerk, Fire Brigade, M.C. Ludhiana,



submits that nothing survives in the instant writ petitions. During the pendency of the writ petitions, the petitioner – Swaran Singh who was allowed permission to join the course, in question, has failed whereas the petitioner – Kiran Kumar fell ill and could not recover and petitioner – Rajan Singh has been promoted.

4. Learned counsel representing the petitioner – Swaran Singh affirms the aforesaid fact.
5. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that both the writ petitions be disposed of having been rendered infructuous.
6. Ordered accordingly.
7. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner(s) to revive the writ petitions, in case anything survives, within a period of 03 months from today by filing an appropriate application.
8. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

02.12.2025
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No