



234

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23845-2025

Date of Decision:15.05.2025

RINKU KUMAR

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.1053 dated 01.11.2024, registered under Sections 109, 115(2), 121(1), 121(2), 132, 351(2) of BNS, Police Station City Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the complainant has concealed the genesis of the occurrence. In fact the complainant alongwith certain police officials have reached at the place of occurrence and had beaten of the petitioner. As a consequence, the petitioner suffered a grievous injury on his eyes, which is apparent from the medical record (Annexure P-3). Even his wife made a complaint on 01.11.2024 (Annexure P-4) against the complainant and other police officials but no action was taken by the Senior Police Officers



in the matter. He further contends that even the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 08.03.2025 and is in custody since then. Even the allegations have been levelled primarily against Atul, son of the petitioner and he has been granted the concession of bail by the Juvenile Court.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the petitioner and he had caused injuries to the police officials and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 08.03.2025 and is in custody for the last more than 02 months. Even the investigation is almost complete against him and his further custody may not serve any meaningful purpose. Moreover, Atul, main accused has also been granted the concession of bail by the Juvenile Court.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

15.05.2025
vipin

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No