



237

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23301-2025

Date of Decision:29.07.2025

CONSTABLE VARINDER SINGH

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 BNSS with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.17 dated 24.02.2025, registered under Sections 7, 7-A of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 as amended by PC (Amendment) Act, 2018 and under Section 61(2) of BNS, 2023 (Section 238 of BNS, 2023 added later), Police Station C-Division District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar City.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is working in the Police Department for the last several years. However, there was no complaint in any manner against him. He further contends that the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case due to intra departmental rivalry and also on the asking of the members of the ruling party of the State. Learned



counsel further contends that the petitioner had never received any bribe money from the complainant or any other persons and the recovery has been planted on him. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 24.02.2025 and is in custody for the last more than 05 months. Learned counsel further contends that the petitioner was falsely involved in one more case, however, he has been granted the concession of bail in the said case.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. In the present case, the petitioner is stated to be in custody for the last about 05 months. After completion of investigation, the challan has been presented against the petitioner. The prosecution has relied upon 16 witnesses, but no witness has been examined so far. Thus, the further custody of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

29.07.2025
vipin

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No