



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

128

CWP-11998-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 30.04.2025

Rakesh Khanna

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Challenge in the present petition to the order dated 24.03.2025 whereby the petitioner has been transferred from the FTR Headquarter BSF PB., Jalandhar to FTR HQ BSF, Meghalaya in ATO-2025 vide FHQ Pers Sig. No.R3239 dated 24.03.2025.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner had been transferred to Punjab on 30.06.2023 on his own request and on compassionate grounds since his son is suffering from 'Early Ankylosing Spondylosis' i.e. Deformation of Lower Backbone and has been undergoing treatment from PGI Chandigarh since 2015 and mother of the petitioner is also suffering from 'Glossopharyngeal Neuralgia'. He contends that such transfer is usually for a period of 04 years in terms of the instructions issued by the respondents, however, notwithstanding that the petitioner has served only for a period of 02 years, he has been transferred back to FTR HQ BSF, Meghalaya. It is submitted that the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 26.03.2025



128

CWP-11998-2025 (O&M)

(Annexure P-7) against the aforesaid order of transfer and he would be satisfied in case a considered decision is taken thereupon in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Gurmeet Kaur, Advocate, enters appearance and accepts notice on behalf of respondent(s)-UOI and submits that she has no objection to the aforesaid prayer being granted.

5. With the consent of the parties, the present petition is accordingly **disposed of** without commenting on the merits of the present case and with a direction to the competent authority to take note of the representation dated 26.03.2025 (Annexure P-7) sent by the petitioner and to pass a well reasoned and speaking order thereupon after granting an opportunity of hearing to the respective parties in accordance with law and preferably within a period of 02 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. In the meanwhile, the impugned order dated 24.03.2025 shall be kept in abeyance till the decision is taken by the respondents.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

30.04.2025

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No