



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

143

CRM-W-582-2025 in/and
CRWP-4365-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 30.04.2025

SUKHPREET KAUR AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Petitioners

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. Kamal Jindal, Advocate for the applicant/petitioners.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

CRM-W-582-2025

The application is allowed as prayed for.

Main Case

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of an appropriate order or direction to respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 10 and take action on their representation dated 23.04.2025 (Annexure P-4).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have recently filed a representation on 23.04.2025 before the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Sri Muktsar Sahib (Annexure P-4) raising their grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior



Superintendent of Police, District Muktsar Sahib to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to her during the course of the day.
5. It is also submitted that they have performed marriage on 12.04.2025 according to Sikh rites.
5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without going into the question as to whether the marriage between the parties is valid or not, since the petitioner no.2 has not attained the marriageable age, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 23.04.2025 (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners within a period of one month, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioners, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

30.04.2025
Deepak Patwal

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|----------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. | <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| 2. | <i>Whether reportable</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |