



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-12550-2025

Date of Decision: 05.05.2025

Om Parkash

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

The Central Provident Fund Commissioner and ors

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. P.K. Chugh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate,
for the respondents.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to consider his claim for pension as per judgment of Supreme Court in *The Employees Provident Fund Organisation and another vs. Sunil Kumar B. and others, 2023 (2) SLR 370*.

2. The petitioner submits that he has retired on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.12.2016. He is entitled to pension as per judgment of Supreme Court in *Sunil Kumar B. (supra)*. He has filed his option in physical form as well as through electronic mode. The respondent is not adjudicating his claim.

2025:PHHC:058659



3. Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate, who on advance is present in Court, submits that competent authority would consider of petitioner in accordance with applicable law as well as judgment of supreme court in *Sunil Kumar B. (supra)*. He further submits that employer of the petitioner is not submitting record.

4. Mr. P.K. Chugh, Advocate agrees with the aforesaid arrangement.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, instant petition stands disposed of. Let the needful be done within 3 months from today.

6. It is hereby made clear that it is responsibility of petitioner to get his record forwarded from employer.

05.05.2025
shivani

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes

Whether reportable

No