

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH.

127

2025:PHHC:056656



CWP-12091-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 01.05.2025.

ANIL KUMAR AND OTHERS

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

PRESENT: Mr. Gourav Jain, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the controversy involved in the present petition is squarely covered by the judgment dated 04.10.2024 passed by this Court in a bunch of writ petitions with **CWP-1281- 2020 titled Shyam Sunder and others vs. State of Haryana and Anr**’ being the lead case and based on this decision, this Court vide order dated 30.01.2025 passed in CWP-6314-2020 titled Shiv Kumar vs. State of Haryana and another, allowed the writ petition.

Learned State counsel has not controverted this fact.

Disposed of in terms of judgment dated 04.10.2024 passed in **CWP-1281-2020 titled Shyam Sunder and others vs. State of Haryana and Anr. and CWP-6314-2020 titled Shiv Kumar vs. State of Haryana and another.**

May 01, 2025.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No