

113

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-12048-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 2nd of May, 2025

JITEN

.....Petitioner(s)

V/s.

UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERSRespondent(s)

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA**
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA


Present: Mr. Parminder S. Kaul, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Standing counsel
for the respondents.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. Both the learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the issue raised in the present Writ Petition can be disposed in terms of the order dated 25.02.2025 passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-26405-2024 titled as **Puneet Sharma and Others** Vs. **Union Territory, Chandigarh and others** ; wherein the Coordinate Bench has held as under:-

“15. In aftermath, after upholding the impugned show cause notice, this Court permits the petitioners to challenge the decision pursuant thereto made by the Town Vending Committee, thus through a statutory appeal becoming raised thereagainst, before the competent appellate authority concerned. Moreover, if the said statutory appeal is time barred, thereupon on an application cast under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, becoming appended with the statutory appeal, thus a valid speaking order shall be made by the appellate authority concerned. Subsequently, the said statutory appeal



shall be registered and shall be decided on merits by the appellate authority concerned, but after hearing all the affected persons concerned. The said appeal be filed within two weeks from today, and, be ensured to be decided within two months thereafter.

16. Till then the operation of the cancellation order shall remain stayed, and, subsequently, in case an application, for an alike relief being claimed, becomes filed before the Appellate Authority, the same shall be lawfully decided within two weeks from the date of filing of the said application.”

- 2. It is informed to the Court that the petitioner has already filed an appeal before the appellate authority which is still to be decided.
- 3. Accordingly, we direct the appellate authority concerned to decide the appeal of the petitioner within a period **two months** from today and till then the operation of the cancellation order shall remain stayed.
- 4. It is made clear that if the petitioner wants, he is always free to deposit the amount outstanding as claimed by the respondents.
- 5. The present CWP is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.
- 6. All pending applications in this case are disposed of accordingly.

[SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA]
JUDGE

[MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA]
JUDGE

May 2, 2025
Ess Kay

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes	/	No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes	/	No