



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

231

CRM-M-23915-2025

Date of decision: 30.07.2025

Pritam Singh Bawa

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Mr. Chetan Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Dharabir Bhargav, Advocate, for the complainant.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 in case FIR No.202 dated 31.12.2024, under Sections 118(2), 115(2), 118(1), 351(2), 191(3), 190 BNS, 2023, registered at P.S. B-Division, District Amritsar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the prosecution is that petitioner along with co-accused have caused injuries to Guljar Singh with a *Kirpan* which was opined by doctor as a grievous in nature.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the *challan* has been presented in this case and charges are yet to be framed. The petitioner is in custody for the last 6 months and 28 days as under trial, therefore, he be released on bail pending trial.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He has filed custody certificate of the



petitioner in the Court today, which is taken on record. As per custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 6 months and 28 days.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. In view of the above submissions of learned counsel for the parties and considering the custody period undergone by the petitioner; *challan* has been presented and charges are yet to be framed; the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

30.07.2025
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No