



235

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23540-2025

Date of Decision: 26.05.2025

SAVEENA @ NAINA

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravneet Singh Joshi, Deputy, A.G., Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to her in case FIR No.31 dated 29.05.2024, registered under Sections 370, 34 & 120-B of IPC, Police Station Sadiq, District Faridkot.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the order Annexures P-2 and P-3 passed by this Court, whereby the concession of bail have been granted to Seema @ Preet, Kuldeep Kaur and Ranjeet Singh. He further submits that the case of the petitioner is at par with them. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 09.06.2024 and is in custody for the last almost one year. Even the challan has been presented against the petitioner but no witness has been examined so far.



3. On the other hand, learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court. However, he could not dispute the fact that other similarly placed co-accused have been granted the concession bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. In the present case, the petitioner is stated to be in custody for the last almost one year. Similarly placed co-accused namely Seema @ Preet, Kuldeep Kaur and Ranjeet Singh, have already been granted the concession of bail by this Court vide orders Annexures P-2 and P-3 respectively. Thus, the conclusion of the trial may take quite a long time and further custody of the petitioner will not serve any meaningful purpose.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail pending trial on her furnishing bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/ Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate.

26.05.2025
vipin

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No