



221-2 cases

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

(1)

**CRM-M-23012-2025**  
**Date of Decision:- 09.09.2025**

APARJIT SINGH ALIAS APARJEET SINGH ...Petitioner.

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB ...Respondent.

(2)

**CRM-M-18159-2025**

KULDEEP KUMAR ....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB ...Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI**

Present:- Mr. Jashan Mehta, Advocate for petitioner  
in CRM-M-23012-2025.

Mr. S.S. Kalra, Advocate for petitioner  
in CRM-M-18159-2025.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.  
\*\*\*\*\*

**AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)**

1. Petitioners – Aparjit Singh @ Aparjeet Singh in CRM-M-



23012-2025 and Kuldeep Kumar in CRM-M-18159-2025 have filed separate petitions under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail in FIR No.217 dated 04.09.2022 initially registered under Sections 376-D, 328, 354-C, 384, 506 of IPC, 1860 (Section 201 of IPC, 1860 added later-on), registered at Police Station Gobindgarh Mandi, District Fatehgarh Sahib (Annexure P-1). Both petitions are taken up together for hearing and decision, being arisen out of the same FIR. Documents annexed in lead case are referred for disposal of bail petitions.

2. The facts of the case are that the prosecutrix filed written complaint to SSP Fatehgarh Sahib alleging that she is resident of Mandi Gobindgarh, Tehsil Amloh, District Fatehgarh Sahib. On 06.08.2022, she came to Diya International School, Mandi Gobindgarh on Teej function and performed dance in the school. After the function, at about 07:30 P.M. she was to return home. She came out of the school where Aparjeet Singh and Kuldeep Singh met her who were in Swift Dzire car bearing registration No. PB-23-0867. She knew Aparjeet Singh. He appreciated her dance and told her that he would drop her to her house. She trusted him. Kuldeep Singh was on driver seat, whereas, Aparjeet Singh was sitting on the adjoining seat. She sat on the backside of the car. They offered her cold drink which was already lying in the car. After consuming the cold drink, she became unconscious in the car. When she re-gained her senses, she found herself naked. Aparjeet Singh had prepared her video in his phone. She was told to come over as and when called by them, otherwise, they would viral her obscene video. Later



on, they again raped her. Kuldeep Singh showed his identity card that he was a police official. He also threatened to involve her in a false case. On the next day, at about 06:00 A.M., she was dropped in the street by them. She was working as an employee in Brand Mark Showroom in Celebration Mall. On the same day, in the evening they under the influence of liquor again started calling her. They forcibly without her consent made her to consume liquor and raped her. She was living alone. The aforesaid accused persons started coming to her house everyday. They used to consume liquor and raped her turn by turn without her consent. There was another person accompanying them who also raped her. They introduced one Yuvraj Singh and another person who was a police employee posted at Police Station Mandi Gobindgarh and the accused told her to develop physical relations with them also. They took her to Chandigarh in a flat. Yuvraj Singh claimed that it was his flat. She was again raped in drunkard condition. Yuvraj Singh forgot his phone with her. She stated that she can identify the aforesaid persons. With these allegations, present FIR has been registered.

3. Learned counsel representing petitioner in CRM-M-23012-2025 argued that there is delay in lodging the FIR. Allegations against him are false and without any basis. Prosecutrix is a married lady having two children. She is not residing with her husband. She is working as a dance girl in orchestra and is habitual of consuming liquor and other intoxicants. Husband of prosecutrix namely Jasvir Singh has given his affidavit (Annexure P-2) regarding the act and conduct of the prosecutrix. No proper



investigation was carried out. During trial, statement of the prosecutrix has been recorded as PW3 which is Annexure P-3. Petitioner is behind the bars since 08.02.2024. He will abide by the terms of bail order. It is submitted that his regular bail petition may be allowed.

4. Learned counsel representing petitioner in CRM-M-18159 argued on the same lines as referred above. In-fact, it was the complainant who was sending whatsapp messages time and again to get friendly with him. Allegations levelled by her are false and frivolous. No proper investigation was carried out. Trial in this case is going on. He is behind the bars since 06.12.2023. Trial in this case may take long time. Therefore, his regular bail petition may be allowed.

5. Both bail petitions are opposed by learned counsel representing State. As per status report, facts detailed in the FIR are confirmed. There are specific serious allegations. After the dismissal of anticipatory bail applications filed by both the petitioners, they surrendered in the Court and were arrested in this case. After completion of investigation, firstly challan was presented against Kuldeep Singh and thereafter supplementary challan was presented against Aparjit Singh. After framing of charges, three witnesses are examined. Prosecution has filed application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. to summon Yuvraj Singh as additional accused. It is submitted that considering gravity of offence, petitioners are not entitled to be released on regular bail.

6. I have considered the arguments and facts detailed in the status



report. Prosecutrix has levelled specific serious allegations against both the petitioners, who are facing trial. Statement of prosecutrix recorded in the Court as PW3 (Annexure P-3) is on record where she fully corroborated her version. Affidavit of Jasvir Singh (Annexure P-2) cannot be looked into at this stage. As per facts, prosecutrix is having dispute with her husband and was residing separately. Therefore, allegations levelled by her husband in the said affidavit cannot be given any weightage at this stage. As per status report, prosecution has also filed application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. for summoning accused Yuvraj Singh, who has not been challaned in this case. Considering the facts narrated in the FIR and the manner in which offence has been committed, at this stage, I do not find merits in the regular bail petitions filed by petitioners – Aparjit Singh @ Aparjeet Singh (CRM-M-23012-2025) and Kuldeep Kumar (CRM-M-18159-2025) and the same are, accordingly, declined.

7. Pending miscellaneous application (s), if any, stands disposed of accordingly as well.

**(AMARJOT BHATTI)**  
**JUDGE**

**09.09.2025**

snd

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.  
Whether reportable : Yes/No