

2025:PHHC:040051



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

243

CWP-16200-2022 (O & M)
Date of decision: 24.03.2025

Vijay Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Subhash Aggawal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer made in the present petition is for quashing the order dated 12.06.2020, vide which claim of the petitioner for appointment on compassionate grounds has been rejected.

2. The submission of learned counsel for the petitioner that his claim for appointment on compassionate grounds has been wrongly rejected, on the ground of he being merely overage by 3 months and 8 days, cannot be countenanced in view of the fact that the policy dated 21.11.2002 specifically prescribes 42 years as the upper age limit, including relaxation of 5 years.

3. In view of the above, the present petition being devoid of merits is hereby dismissed.

24.03.2025

parveen kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**