



212(1) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-23227-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.05.2025

VED PARKASH ALIAS VEDI AND ANOTHER ...Petitioners

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Manoj Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 62 dated 29.03.2025 registered under Sections 110, 115, 117(2), 190, 191(3), 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS') registered at Police Station Faridabad Old, District Faridabad.

2. On 01.05.2025, following order was passed:

"Instant petition is preferred under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.62 dated 29.03.2025 under Sections 110, 115, 117(2), 190, 191(3), 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS'), registered at Police Station Faridabad Old, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, inter alia, contends that no specific role has been attributed to the petitioners. All the offences, under which the FIR (supra) is registered, are bailable except Section 110 of BNS, which is not attracted, as neither there is any injury on the vital part of the body of the victim nor there is any medical opinion with regard to the injuries being

dangerous to life. As such, provisions of Section 110 of BNS have been wrongly invoked, as discernible from details of the injuries given in para No.4 of the present petition.

Notice of motion for 27.05.2025.

*Keeping in view the ratio of law enunciated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Satender Kumar Antil Vs. CBI (2022) 10 SCC 51; Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre Vs. State of Maharashtra and others 2010 SCC OnLine SC 137; Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia etc. Vs. State of Punjab (1980) 2 SCC 565, Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar (2014) 8 SCC 273 and Sushila Aggarwal Vs. State of NCT Delhi 2020 (1) RCR (Criminal) 833**, the petitioners are directed to appear before Investigating Officer within a period of two weeks from today and thereafter, as directed by the Investigating Officer. In the event of arrest, the petitioners will be admitted to interim anticipatory bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Investigating/Arresting Officer. The petitioners will cooperate with the investigation/Arresting Officer and abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of BNSS (erstwhile Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973).*

If the Arresting Officer does not permit the petitioners to join the investigation, they would appear before learned Illaqa Magistrate, who would then summon the Arresting Officer and direct him to join the petitioners in the investigation, in terms of the order of this Court.

Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion by this Court and learned trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law."

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from Insp./SHO Shri Bhagwan, submits that in compliance of order dated 01.05.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. Keeping in view the statement made by learned State Counsel the order dated 01.05.2025, is made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions enumerated in Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C./Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.
5. The petition is accordingly disposed of.

27.05.2025	(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)	
shruti	JUDGE	
	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	Yes/No