

228

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-23112-2025
Date of decision:-21.05.2025**

HARMANJOT SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY.

Present:- Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.D. Sachdeva, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate for the complainant.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel has filed reply by way of an affidavit dated 20.05.2025, of Assistant Commissioner of Police, East, Amritsar, the same is taken on record, copy thereof, has been supplied to the counsel opposite.

2. Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of complainant-Bagicha Singh and injured-Harjit Singh and filed Power of Attorney, the same is taken on record.

3. The instant petition has been preferred by the petitioner under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of



anticipatory bail in the following case (Annexure P-1):-

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
54	25.03.2025	115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 351(2), 191(3), 190, 288 of BNS	B-Division, Amritsar

4. Arguments heard.
5. It is *inter alia* contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case on account of pre-existing dispute between the parties. He contends that the petitioner had not participated in the occurrence nor has caused any injury. Hence prayed for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
6. *Per contra*, learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant referring to the reply submitted by the State have opposed the bail petition by arguing that the petitioner along with other co-accused has forcibly entered the shop of the complainant while being armed with kirpan and baseball bat and gave injuries on the forehead and right hand of the complainant. They have referred to the photographs as well as video recording of the occurrence to submit that the hooliganism of the petitioner and other co-accused is writ at large, the recovery of weapon of offence is yet to be effected from the petitioner for which his custodial interrogation is required. Hence prayed for dismissal of the bail petition.
7. After considering the rival contentions and perusing the record, it transpires that there are specific allegations levelled against the petitioner of having entered the premises of the complainant while being armed with weapons such as kirpan, baseball bat and had attacked the complainant causing injuries on his forehead and right hand. The act and conduct of the



petitioner indulging in hooliganism in broad day light is writ at large in the video footage. The recovery of weapon is yet to be effected from the petitioner, for which custodial interrogation is required. Therefore, considering the serious nature and gravity of the offence, no case is made out in favour of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail, as a consequence, the petition is hereby dismissed.

8. Any observation made above shall not be construed as opinion of this Court on the merits of the case.

9. Pending application(s) if any shall also stand disposed of.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

21.05.2025

Gyan

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No