



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.14354 of 2019

Date of Decision: 28.04.2025

Dilbagh Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

2.

CWP No.23189 of 2019 (O&M)

Ajay Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present:- Mr. Ishaan Bhardwaj, Advocate and
Mr. Abishai Alfred George, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Somesh Gupta, Senior Panel Counsel, UOI,
for the respondents.

* * * *

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners fairly state that the issue raised in the present writ-petitions has been decided against the petitioners and the ratio of the said judgment governs the fate of the instant writ-petitions.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents agrees to the same.



3. In **CWP No.37892 of 2018 and other connected cases** titled as **“Dinesh Kumar and others vs. Union of India and others”** decided on 04.04.2024, this Court has held as under:-

“x x x x x

37. In view of the above, challenge to Rule 9(3) fails and it is directed that the appointments be made to the posts of Instructors on the terms of the advertisement and Rule 9(3). The communication of the DGT allowing the State Government to continue with appointments to those candidates, who do not possess CITS certificate, challenged in the writ petitions, in view of our findings and conclusion as above, the said challenge also fails. The writ petitions are accordingly dismissed.

38. However, before we close the issue, it is to be observed that so far as education whether technical or vocational is concerned, the standard ought to be maintained universally all over India. More so, as a candidate has a right of seeking employment in any State, need of the RAC is to provide similar standard of education to all students in all the States. There should be no deviation in the capability of the teachers, who are required to teach and instruct in the various courses whether vocational or technical. In view thereto, it is essential that same standard of selection should be followed by all States. It is not a case where there is any difficulty in providing CITS training in Punjab and Haryana on account of any reasons, therefore, it is necessary that the State Government should take steps to incorporate the said condition as a pre qualification in their service rules in order to maintain the same standard of all the Instructors. Those instructors, who



do not possess CITS qualification, should be asked to obtain such a training even after appointment so that the standard is maintained by all Instructors while performing their duties. Accordingly, we direct the State Government to take steps keeping in view our observations, as above, and make amendments in the rules accordingly for future.”

4. In view of above, we **dispose** of the present writ-petitions in the afore-said terms. The observations and order passed above shall apply *mutatis mutandis* to the instant case.

5. All pending application(s) also stand disposed of accordingly.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

April 28, 2025
seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>