



143

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-4308-2025

Date of decision : 29.04.2025

Amandeep Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Ms. Komal Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Manu Sachdeva, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed direction respondents No.2 and 3 to safeguard the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 8 as they are opposing the live-in-relationship of the petitioners as petitioner No.1 is already married with respondent No.4, though there is no child from the said marriage. Further prayer has been made for directing respondents No.4 to 8 not to indulge in any illegal activities and not to interfere in the personal life of the petitioners and official respondents be directed not to implicate the petitioners in any criminal case at the behest of private respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioner No.1 is married to respondent No.4, namely, Honey Singh, however, there is no child born out of their marriage. She further submits that both the petitioners are major and are living in live-in-relationship against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 8 and have sought protection to

**CRWP-4308-2025****-2-**

their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from the private respondents. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 25.04.2025 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2.

3. Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 3-State only.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga to decide the representation dated 25.04.2025 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.

6. Disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

29.04.2025*ps-I*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No