



110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-4335-2025

Date of decision : 28.07.2025

Ravi Kumar**.....Petitioner**

versus

State of Punjab and others**.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present :- Mr. Kuldip Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Arora, D.A.G., Punjab.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed for directing respondent No.3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members from the hands of respondents No.5 & 6. Further prayer has been made to direct official respondents to take action against the erring official under relevant provisions of IT Act, 2000 and not to harass and humiliate the petitioner by calling them in the Police Station time and again.

2. It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case, however he is facing trial in FIR No.47 dated 24.05.2025 under Sections 15(b), 61, 85 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Bassi Pathana, District Fatehgarh Sahib, in which he is already on bail. He submits that the petitioner received a *whatsapp* message by the police official as is evident from Annexure P-5. He submits that the petitioner was threatened to be put behind bars even after

**CRWP-4335-2025****-2-**

having been granted bail. It is submitted that the petitioner filed a representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib, but no action has been taken to ensure the protection to him.

3. Learned State counsel, has filed the status report by way of an affidavit of Mr. Raj Kumar, P.P.S., Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Bassi Pathana, District Fatehgarh Sahib, in the Court today and same is taken on record. He submits that this petition has been filed only in order to wriggle out of FIR registered against the petitioner in which 30 Kg of poppy husk was recovered from the petitioner. He, thus submits that the allegations made are without any basis.

4. On hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, this Court finds that it is the bounden duty of the State to ensure the protection to the life and liberty of the accused, *de hors*, the allegations made in the FIR, in accordance with law.

5. Respondent No.3- Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib is directed to decide the representation dated 22.04.2025 (Annexure P-7) filed by the petitioner expeditiously preferably within one month from today, in accordance with law.

6. In view of the above, present petition is disposed of.

(**RAJESH BHARDWAJ**)
JUDGE

28.07.2025*ps-I*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No