



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1238

CWP-18443-2009 (O & M)
Date of decision: 16.05.2025

Gurdev Singh Sidhu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Raageshwari Sharma, Advocate for

Mr. S.S. Behl, Advocate for respondent No.2.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer made in the present petition is for quashing of orders dated 15.03.2007 and 11.08.2009, rejecting the claim of the petitioner for release of payment with regard to unutilized earned leave.
2. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 refers to the Rule 8.21(a)(1) and states that leave encashment benefit of unutilized earned leave upto 300 or 240 days as the case may be, is permissible only once at the time of retirement on superannuation to all classes of employees, but not on re-employment or any other type of fresh employment after superannuation and the aforesaid rule was being misrepresented, to which there was no rebuttal by way of filing replication.
3. Disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived, by demonstrating that the *lis* still survives.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

16.05.2025

Hemant

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No