



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

116

CWP-11914-2025

Date of Decision :30.04.2025

M/s Covalience India Pvt. Ltd

...Petitioner

Versus

The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner,
Employees' Provident Fund Organization

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Nitish Pathak, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner-Firm submits that as of now, no coercive action has been initiated in pursuance to the demand notice issued to the petitioner by the respondent hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner-Firm to file appropriate reply to the said demand notice stating that keeping in view the order passed by the Labour Court dated 19.03.2025, no coercive action can be taken against the petitioner-Firm during the pendency of the appeal before the Labour Court against the order of assessment.

2. Ordered accordingly.

April 30, 2025
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No