



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA-1262-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 1st of May, 2025

VED PAL MANN AND OTHERS

.....Appellant(s)

V/s.

DR. POONAM CHAUDHARY AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. Manjeet Singh, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. Mr. Manjeet Singh, Advocate and Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G., Haryana have appeared before this Court on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 to 4 respectively.

2. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that application for impleadment of the appellants in the Writ Petition was allowed and the application for vacation of stay has been fixed for 04.08.2025 on the day i.e. 23.04.2025, when the lawyers were abstaining from work and therefore, facts could not be brought to knowledge of the learned Single Bench which the appellants wanted to place.

3. We are of the firm view that in such circumstances, either fresh application can be filed within the meaning of Article 226 (3) of the Constitution of India or suitable remedy under law can be taken up for moving fresh application as there is no bar for moving fresh application.



4. In view thereto, we need not interfere with the order dated 23.04.2025, but request the learned Single Bench to examine afresh application on merits, if so filed.

5. In view of the aforesaid observations, the present LPA stands **dismissed.**

6. All pending applications in this case are disposed of accordingly.

[SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA]
JUDGE

[MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA]
JUDGE

May 1, 2025
Ess Kay

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>