



143

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-4364-2025
DATE OF DECISION: 30.04.2025**

**ARAVINDA KUMAR SIHNA AND ANOTHER
...PETITIONERS**

Versus

**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
... RESPONDENTS**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Virk, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
(through Hybrid Mode)

Mr. Chetan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner by providing adequate protection to the petitioner and also directing the private respondents not to interfere in the personal life and liberty of the petitioner.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2-the Superintendent of Police, Sirsa to decide the representation dated 23.04.2025 (Annexure P-2) within a time bound manner.

3. Without adverting to the merits of the case, the respondent No.2- the Superintendent of Police, Sirsa is directed to consider and

decide the representation dated 23.04.2025 (Annexure P-2) by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. A copy of order so passed be supplied to the petitioner within three days thereafter.

5. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

30.04.2025
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>