



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1291

CWP-13880-2010 (O & M)

Date of decision: 23.05.2025

Neeta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Gaganbir Kaur Kahlon, Advocate for

Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate for respondent No.3.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer made in the present petition for quashing the seniority list dated 30.07.2007, might not, due to efflux of time, even be surviving.

2. Learned State counsel refers to para 5 of the counter affidavit dated 19.01.2011 filed by Additional Director, Department of Technical Education and Industrial Training, to which there was no counter filed, wherein it has been stated that the cadre of the petitioner i.e. Computer Application was merged with that of Computer Engineering, thus the date of joining was taken while assigning the seniority and merely that she was elder in age to Sh. Vijay Kumar could not entitle her seniority over and above him. Moreover, she had not filed any objection to the tentative seniority list.

3. Disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived, by demonstrating that the *lis* still survives.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

23.05.2025

Hemant

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No