

CRWP-4525-2024

Date of decision: 06.02.2025

Raj Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

\*\*\*

Present :-

Mr. Kuldeep Singh Siwach, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Manasvi Sharma, Advocate for  
Mr. Mukesh K. Sharma, Advocate  
for respondent No.6.

\*\*\*

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1.
- The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 4 to protect the life and liberty of the run away couple/petitioners and to restrain the private respondents No.6 to 12 not to harass or interfere in the peaceful life of the petitioners.
2.
- Notice was issued in this case and in response to the same, respondent-State has filed the reply.
3.
- Learned State counsel submits that as per the statement of the petitioners recorded by them, no protection is required by the petitioners. He also submits that even otherwise, the protection has already been provided to the petitioners, so the present petition has been rendered infructuous and as such, the same may be disposed of accordingly.
4.
- In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of.

06.02.2025

m. sharma

( RAJESH BHARDWAJ )

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No