



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

262

**CRM-M No.22663 of 2025
Date of decision: 31.07.2025**

VIKAS YADAV

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present : Ms. Vishali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Punchhi, Advocate for respondent No.2.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for quashing of FIR No. 66 dated 20.03.2017, under Sections 323, 34, 406, 498A and 506 of IPC, registered at Women Police Station, District Gurgaon and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of the amicable settlement between the parties dated 20.04.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and also gone through the case file.

3. This Court while issuing notice of motion vide order dated 10.07.2025, directed the parties to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements with regard to the compromise.

4. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, report dated 19.07.2025 has been received from the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Gurugram. A perusal of the said report reveals that statements of the concerned persons have been recorded



in the present case, who have stated that the matter has been settled between them and they have no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed. The compromise effected between them is genuine, without any undue influence and coercion.

5. The Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, held that the High Court has the power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to allow the compounding of non-compoundable offence(s) and quash the proceedings where the High Court is of the view that the same was required to prevent the abuse of the process of law or otherwise to secure the ends of justice. This power of quashing is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone.

6. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543***, had observed that in order to secure the ends of justice or to prevent the abuse of process of Court, inherent power can be used by this Court to quash criminal proceedings in which a compromise has been effected. The relevant portion of para 57 of the said judgment reads thus:-

“57. The position that emerges from the above discussion can be summarised thus: the power of the High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction is distinct and different from the power given to a criminal court for compounding the offences under Section 320 of the Code.

Inherent power is of wide plenitude with no statutory limitation but it has to be exercised in accord with the guideline engrafted in such power viz; (i) to secure the ends of justice or (ii) to prevent abuse of the process of any Court.

xxx xxx xxx. ”

7. In view of the afore-referred judgments and after perusing the



report of the trial Court regarding amicable settlement between the petitioner(s) and the complainant, this Court finds that quashing the FIR will accord a quietus to all disputes between the parties and it is in the interest of both sides to bury the hatchet and lead a peaceful life. Thus, no useful purpose would be served in continuing the proceedings and in order to secure the ends of justice, the criminal proceedings in the present case deserve to be quashed.

8. Resultantly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No. 66 dated 20.03.2017, under Sections 323, 34, 406, 498A and 506 of IPC, registered at Women Police Station, District Gurgaon and all other consequential proceedings are quashed qua the petitioner(s) amicable settlement between the parties dated 20.04.2024 (Annexure P-2), subject to payment of Rs. 20,000/- to be deposited by the petitioner(s) in the Poor Patient Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh within a period of one month.

9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

31.07.2025
Jyoti-IV

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable :	Yes/No