



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-24991-2024

Date of decision: 10.03.2025

IMRAN KHAN

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. P.S. Sekhon, Advocate and
Mr. Parshant, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG Punjab.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Reply dated 17.02.2025 in the form of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Malerkotla, District Malerkotla, has been filed. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. After arguing at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the present petition at this stage. However, he has requested that since the petitioner is in custody for the last 2 ½ years, the learned Trial Court may be asked to expedite the trial.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn at this stage.

4. However, keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 29.05.2022 and only 03 witnesses have been examined out of 19 witnesses cited by the prosecution, the learned Trial Court is asked to expedite the conclusion of trial by giving short adjournments.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

10.03.2025

puneet

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No