

2025:PHHC:065544



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

1118

CWP-2328-2008 (O & M)
Date of decision: 16.05.2025

Mirmalini

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer made in the present petition is for quashing the orders vide which claim of the petitioner for appointment on compassionate grounds has been rejected.
2. Learned State counsel refers to paras 1 and 2 of preliminary submissions of written statement filed by the District Education Officer (S.E.) Patiala, dated 14.05.2008, wherein it has been stated that the petitioner does not fall within the definition of family, to which there was no counter filed.
3. Learned counsel states that despite best efforts, he is also unable to establish contact with the petitioner.
4. Disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived by demonstrating that the *lis* still subsists.

16.05.2025

parveen kumar

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No